[Mr. K. George.]

(*b*) The member is referred to the *Civil List re* the number of Hindu, Christian and Mahomedan officers on Rs. 100 and above and the proportion may be worked out with reference to the population as per the last census.

Opening of a Sub-Registrar's Office in Paravur Pakuthi, Quilon Taluk.

104. **MR. S. PIRAVIPERUMAL PILLAI** (*Commerce and Industry, South*) : (1) Is it a fact that the Government sanctioned the opening of a Sub-Registrar's office in Paravur *pakuthi* two years ago?

(2) If so, will the Government be pleased to state why it has not been opened as yet?

(3) Are the Government aware that after the Ezhava and Nayar Regulations have become law, the necessity for a Sub-Registrar's office here is felt more keenly than before?

(4) In view of the above, will the Government be pleased to open a Sub-Registrar's office there at an early date?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) : (1) and (2) No new office was sanctioned to be opened in Paravur *pakuthi* but the Chattannur office now located at Nedungolam was ordered to be moved to the building formerly used as the Magistrate's court. The transfer has not been given effect to pending the execution of necessary improvements to the building.

(3) and (4) The Government have just sanctioned the opening, as an experimental measure, of five new Sub-Registrars' offices and the redistribution of the Registration Sub-Districts as a result of the reported increase in the number of documents consequent on the Ezhava and Nayar Regulations and they cannot go on increasing their number in the absence of necessity clearly made out.

Removal of the Sub-Registrar's Office notified to be opened at Balaramapuram.

105. **MR. S. PIRAVIPERUMAL PILLAI** (*Commerce and Industry, South*): (1) With reference to notification, R. O. C. No. 3445 of 26/Judl., appearing in the *Gazette* dated the 3rd Tulam 1102, *re* the opening of a Sub-Registrar's office at Balaramapuram, will the Government be pleased to state :

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Director of Registration has made arrangements to open this office at Panavilakod instead of at Balaramapuram ;

(*b*) whether the Director of Registration replied to the deputation which waited on the Dewan and prayed for the removal of this office from Balaramapuram, that he could not do so since the Government have sanctioned the opening of the same at Balaramapuram after careful consideration and due deliberation and that the necessary notification was also being published ;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(*c*) whether they are aware that Balaramapuram is a trading centre growing in importance and that the Police Station, the Anchal Office and other public institutions are located there;

(*d*) whether they received any resolutions from a public meeting convened at Balaramapuram protesting against the removal of the office from there;

(*e*) whether the people of Balaramapuram, Kottukal, Tiruvallam and other places drew the attention of the Government to the fact that it will be more safe and convenient to the large number of people who go to this Sub-Registrar's office with money and valuables if it is located at Balaramapuram instead of at Panavilakod where there are no Police Station, Anchal Office, etc.?

(2) If the answer to part (1) (*a*) is in the affirmative, will the Government kindly state the reasons that induced the Director of Registration to remove this office to Panavilakod contrary to Government orders and the notification at this last stage?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): (1) (*a*) The office is located at Venganur which is the most central and convenient locality for the *pakuthies* of Kottukal and Tiruvallam which it is primarily intended to serve.

(1) (*b*) to (*d*) and (2) In view of the answer to part (1) (*a*), these do not arise.

(1) (*e*) Representations to that effect were received and considered before the present location was approved.

Ezhava Sub-Registrars.

106. **MR. R. PADMANABHAN** (*Nominated*): (1) Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) the number of Ezhava Sub-Registrars now in the Government service; and

(*b*) the date of appointment of each of them?

(2) Will the Government be pleased to lay on the table a list showing the number of Ezhava graduates who applied for appointment as Sub-Registrars during the last twenty years with the results thereof?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): (1) (*a*) Three.

(*b*) 18th Dhanu 1082, 21st Minam 1083, and 18th Edavam 1101, respectively.

(2) The information is not available as applications are not preserved for such a long period.

MR. R. PADMANABHAN (*Nominated*): With reference to part (*b*) of the answer, may I know the number of Ezhava graduates who applied for appointment as Sub-Registrars during the last *three or four years at least*?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): That information is not here. Since the member asked for the information with reference to the last 20 years, it was stated that the information was not available. But since he now wants the information only in regard to the last three or four years, Government will call for the information if the member so desires.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly